Subject Description Form

Subject Code	APSS6403				
Subject Title	Health Care Ethics				
Credit Value	3 (Compulsory)				
Level	6				
Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion	Nil				
Objectives	To develop students' ability in critical appraisal of bioethical concepts and theories for their application to ethical discourse on biomedical and health care issues				
Assessment Methods	100% Continuous Assessment 1. Reaction Paper 2. Seminar Presentation 3. Individual Paper • The final grade is calculated acc • The completion and submission passing the subject; and • Student must pass all the compo	of all component assignm	ents are required for		
Intended Learning Outcomes	 Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: a. evaluate how ethical theories offer divergent understandings of the central values that frame health care and bioethical discourse b. critically appraise the contemporary issues in biomedical and health care ethics, taking into account the context of technological and social changes, and the challenges of moral pluralism c. articulate the relevant knowledge and skills needed to critically analyze and assess normative positions taken on the biomedical and health care issues at local, regional, and international levels d. justify their ethical viewpoints in ethical argumentation on specific biomedical and health care issues by adopting global and cross-cultural perspectives 				

Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus

Conceptualization of ethical issues and approaches to ethical decision making in different moral theories: Kantian obligation-based ethics, utilitarian consequence-based ethics, feminist care ethics, agent-based virtue ethics, principlism.

Ethical argumentation: the ability to identify the issues at stake in health care and bioethics, and how the issues are presented at local, regional, and international levels; the ability to critically assess arguments and positions; the ability to carefully and clearly articulate ethical issues; and draw on rival moral theories to examine competing ethical justifications in moral reasoning, to defend one's own moral position and to engage in consensus formation.

Global and cross-cultural perspectives on ethical discourse of specific biomedical and health care issues are explored, such as genetic engineering, reproductive technology, human experimentation, complementary and alternative medicine, life-sustaining treatment in end-of-life care, euthanasia, health resource rationing.

Teaching/Learning Methodology

Lecture

They are conducted to examine and critically appraise the concepts and theories of ethics as applied to health care.

Seminar

Case studies and problem-based triggers will be used for engaging students in clarifying their ethical viewpoints and articulating ethical argumentation. Students would critically evaluate one's own performance and to constructively criticize peers' performance in the seminar.

Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes

Specific assessment methods/tasks	% weighting	Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate)			
		a	ь	С	d
1. Reaction paper	20	✓			
2. Seminar presentation	30	✓	✓	✓	✓
3. Individual paper	50	✓	✓	✓	✓
Total	100				

Reaction paper

Students will be assessed on their ability to appreciate how ethical theories offer divergent understandings of the central values that frame health care and bioethical discourse.

Seminar presentation

Students will be assessed on their ability to engage in ethical discourse with peers.

Individual paper

Students will be assessed on their ability to deliberate, analyse, articulate, and critique contemporary issues in biomedical and health care ethics by taking into account of competing theories and multi-cultural perspectives.

Notes:

- The final grade is calculated according to the percentage assigned;
- The completion and submission of all component assignments are required for passing the subject; and
- Student must pass all the components if he/she is to pass the subject.

Student Study Effort Expected

Class contact:	
Lecture	27 Hrs.
Seminar	12 Hrs.
Other student study effort:	
 Extensive reading 	39 Hrs.
Seminar presentation and written assignment	39 Hrs.
Total student study effort	117 Hrs.

Reading List and References

Indicative Reading List:

Arries, E. (2005). Virtue ethics: an approach to moral dilemmas in nursing. Curationis (Pretoria), 28(3), 64–72. https://doi.org/10.4102/curationis.v28i3.990

H. Barrett, D., W. Ortmann, L., Dawson, A., Saenz, C., Reis, A., & Bolan, G. (2016). Public Health Ethics: Cases Spanning the Globe (1st ed. 2016. ed., Public Health Ethics Analysis, 3). Cham: Springer International Publishing: Imprint: Springer.

Beauchamp, Tom L. & Childress, James F. (2019). *Principles of Biomedical Ethics*. (8th edition). New York: Oxford University Press.

Cohen, Michael H. (2003). *Future Medicine*. Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Press.

Davis Anne J., Tschudin V., & de Raeve L. (eds) (2006). Essentials of Teaching and Learning in Nursing Ethics: Perspectives and Methods. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone/Elsevier

Dickenson, D., Huxtable, R., & Parker, M. (2010). *The Cambridge Medical Ethics Workbook: Case Studies, Commentaries, and Activities* (2nd edition), Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press.

Duncan, Peter (2010). Values, Ethics and Health Care, London: Sage.

Dunn, Michael & Hope, Tony (2018). *Medical Ethics: A Very Short Introduction* (2nd edition), Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Edge, R., Groves, J., & Ebrary, Inc. (2006). Ethics of health care a guide for clinical practice (3rd ed.). Clifton Park, NY: Thomson Delmar Learning.

GORDON, J.-S., RAUPRICH, O., & VOLLMANN, J. (2011). APPLYING THE FOUR-PRINCIPLE APPROACH. Bioethics, 25(6), 293–300. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8519.2009.01757.x

May, W.F. (2001). Beleaguered Rulers: The Public Obligation of the Professional. Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press.

Pang, Samantha MC. (2003). Nursing Ethics in Modern China: Conflicting Values and Competing Role Requirements. Amsterdam-New York: Rodopi.

Sandel, Michael J. (2007). *The Case against Perfection: Ethics in the Age of Genetic Engineering*. Cambridge, MA & London: The Belknap Press.

Savulescu, J., Persson, I., & Wilkinson, D. (2020). Utilitarianism and the pandemic. Bioethics, 34(6), 620–632. https://doi.org/10.1111/bioe.12771

Tao, Julia Lai PW (Eds.). (2002). Cross-cultural Perspectives on the (Im)Possibility of Global Bioethics. Boston, Mass.: Kluwer Academic Publishers. Velleman, J. David. (2015). Beyond Price: Essays on Birth and Death. Cambridge: Open Books Publishers.

Williams, G., & Chadwick, R. (2012). Responsibilities for healthcare: Kantian reflections. Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics, 21(2), 155–165. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0963180111000661